

---

# A SHORT JOURNEY WITH PYTHAGORIAN PRIME NUMBERS

by

Hubert Schaetzel

---

**Abstract.** — The purpose of this article is a short study of the decomposition of the Pythagorean primes into two squares based on a theorem established by Stan Wagon.

**Résumé.** — (*Un court voyage parmi les nombres premiers pythagoriens*).  
Le but de cet article est une courte étude de la décomposition des nombres premiers pythagoriens en deux carrés basée sur un théorème établi par Stan Wagon.

**Theorem 1.** — *The equation*

$$p = (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2$$

*has a unique solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\beta$  odd,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

*There is no solution to the previous equation if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* — This is the Fermat's theorem on the sums of two squares. See reference [1]. □

**Theorem 2.** — *Let us consider  $p = (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2$ . Let us have the successive Euclidean divisions of  $p$  starting with  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \pmod{p}$  where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then, not necessarily in that order,  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the first divider and remainder such that their squares sum up to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* — This is a result obtained by Stan Wagon [2]. □

Not entering the specific of the proof, that the reader can find thanks to the reference, an early hint to this result is to consider the following pairs of

---

**Key words and phrases.** — Pythagorean prime numbers, algorithm, enumeration.

equations, which doesn't prove the Stan Wagon result of course, but makes the  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p$  ratio emerge.

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2 \\ &\equiv -g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2 \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv -(g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} 2\alpha + \beta)(g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} 2\alpha - \beta) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2 \\ &\equiv (2\alpha)^2 - g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \beta^2 \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv -(g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \beta + 2\alpha)(g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \beta - 2\alpha) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** — *Let us have the successive Euclidean divisions of  $p$  by  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p$ . Then, every divider (including  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p$ ) and remainder in the division process are linear combinations of  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .*

*Proof.* — Starting from the end results of the division process which are  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the reverse multiplying process provides linear combinations of  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at each step. The final step  $p = u_0 \cdot 2\alpha + v_0 \cdot \beta$ ,  $u_0 = 2\alpha$ ,  $v_0 = \beta$ , subsequent to the result for  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p$ , completes the said linear combinations' series.  $\square$

So let us have the Euclidean division remainders  $r_i$ , with  $r_0 = p$  and write the successive equalities

$$r_i = u_i \cdot 2\alpha + v_i \cdot \beta.$$

Then numerical experimentation shows that the cross-products

$$cp_i = u_i \cdot v_{i+1} - u_{i+1} \cdot v_i$$

have characteristic properties (which we won't intent to prove here). Ideally, the leading one of these properties is that successive  $cp_i$  show alternating 1 and  $-1$  values. This is of course reminiscent of the  $i^{th}$  convergent to a continued fraction  $u_i/v_i$  (see reference [3] theorem 3 and corollary 2) with the likewise formula

$$\frac{u_{i+1}}{v_{i+1}} - \frac{u_i}{v_i} = -\frac{cp_i}{v_i v_{i+1}} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{v_i v_{i+1}}, \quad j = \text{or}(0, 1).$$

This ideal is not rare as the reader can verify in appendix B and longer series are provided by more and more greater prime numbers. Note that the thereby data is issued for the smallest values of the primitive roots of  $p$ . One such ideal example is  $p = r_0 = 100000717$ :

$i$	$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$	$i$	$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$
0	100000717	6714	7411	1	8	431858	29	32	1
1	53515428	3593	3966	-1	9	283197	19	21	-1
2	46485289	3121	3445	1	10	148661	10	11	1
3	7030139	472	521	-1	11	134536	9	10	-1
4	4304455	289	319	1	12	14125	1	1	1
5	2725684	183	202	-1	13	7411	0	1	-1
6	1578771	106	117	1	14	6714	1	0	
7	1146913	77	85	-1					

Note that we have often in the above case the pair of relationships

$$u_{i+2} = u_i - u_{i+1}, \quad v_{i+2} = v_i - v_{i+1}.$$

If this pair occurs and if the cross-product  $cp_i$  equals  $(-1)^{i+j+1}$ , it is immediate to prove that  $cp_{i+1} = -cp_i = (-1)^{i+j}$  (here case  $i = 0$  for example). The reciprocal is however not true (here case  $i = 2$ ).

Let us call "almost perfect linear prime for g", a prime such that  $cp_i = (-1)^{i+j+1}$  for all  $i$  up to the final result and "perfect linear prime for g", a prime such that in addition  $u_{i+2} = u_i - u_{i+1}$  at each step. The first kind are quite current, for g the smallest primitive root, as there are still more than 50% of them up to size 1000000. The second kind, on the contrary, gets soon rarer with only 0.2% in the same range. A limited list of this second kind is given in appendix C.

The alternate  $\pm 1$  pattern happens in general when  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are of "similar" values. When it is not the case, with a larger difference between these two, the said pattern is partially hidden. It starts usually with the first cross-product requiring a modulo  $p$  or  $-p$  operation to show the 1 or  $-1$  values like shown underneath.

$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$	$cp_i \bmod m_i$	$m_i$
100000049	10000	7	40800019993	1	100000049
28570014	1	4080002	-2040001	-1	-10000
14290007	1	2040001	-12250006	-6	-10000
14280007	7	2030001	-2030001	-1	-10000
10000	1	0	1		
7	0	1			

Further cross-products values may be a quite more elaborate composite results. In order to get 1 or  $-1$ , one may have to add linear combinations of future values of  $r_i$  occurring in the successive divisions. For example,  $cp = -12250006 = 1 - 7 - 10000c \equiv 1 - 7 \bmod 10000$  (above) or  $cp =$

$1230001 = 1 + 10000c \equiv 1 \pmod{10000}$  (underneath).

$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$	$cp_i \bmod m_i$	$m_i$
100000081	10000	9	98700079946	-1	-100000081
88890072	6	9870008	-32100026	1230001	11110009
11110009	4	1230001	-1230001	-1	-10000
10000	1	0	1		
9	0	1			

As in these cases, a lot of "things" happen in the same time, these examples converge to the  $(2\alpha, \beta)$  result faster than the regular continued fractions' type, a systematic  $cp_i = (-1)^{i+j+1}$  equality generating the longest path to the end result. The hidden steps go always by odd steps advance so that the alternate  $\pm 1$  values shows up at due time and place. Here  $-6$  stands for 1 and 1230001 for 1, both in between  $-1$ .

Appendix A provides a computer program to produce quick examples of Euclidean divisions of  $p$  by  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p$ , for  $g$  the smallest primitive root of  $p$ , and the corresponding successive linear decompositions parametrized by  $2\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The results for  $p \leq 97$  are also provided in the said appendix.

### Appendix A. Euclidian divisions program

This appendix enables to get the linear combination's factors  $(u_i, v_i)$  of the remainders  $t_i = u_i.(2\alpha) + v_i.(\beta)$  starting with the division of  $t_0 = p$  by  $g^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$  where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p = (2\alpha)^2 + \beta^2$ .

It suffices to make a copy of the program on the Pari/gp online application (menu Main, GP in your browser).

```
{pmin = 3; /* choose min prime numbers' range */
pmax = 1000; /* choose max prime numbers' range */
s = vector(2); infinite = 1000;
forprime(p = pmin, pmax, g = lift(znprimroot(p)); rep = 1; t = vector(3); hh
= 0;
gp4 = 1; for(u = 1, (p-1)/4, gp4 = (gp4*g)%p);
if(p%4 == 1,
for(i = 1, p, b = sqrt(p-4*i*i); if(frac(b) == 0, a = i; b = floor(b); break));
a2 = 2*a; s[1] = a2; t[2] = a2; s[2] = b; divi1 = p; divi2 = gp4;
print("p "p); print(divi1 " "s);
for(k = 2, infinite,
if(divi2 == b, s[1] = 0; s[2] = 1; t[3] = 0; print(b " "s);
s[1] = 1; s[2] = 0; print(a2 " "s);
if(t[3] <> t[1]-t[2], rep = 0);
if(rep == 1, print("perfect linear prime")); break);
if(divi2 == a2, s[1] = 1; s[2] = 0; t[3] = 1; print(a2 " "s);
s[1] = 0; s[2] = 1; print(b " "s);
if(t[3] <> t[1]-t[2], rep = 0);
if(rep == 1, print("perfect linear prime")); break);
for(j = 1, floor(divi2/a2), m = (divi2-a2*j)/b;
if(frac(m) == 0, s[1] = j; s[2] = m; t[3] = j; hh = hh+1;
divi3 = divi1-divi2*floor(divi1/divi2);
divi1 = divi2; divi2 = divi3;
if(hh > 1, if(t[3] <> t[1]-t[2], rep = 0));
t[1] = t[2]; t[2] = t[3];
print(divi1 " "s); break))))}
```

### Appendix B. Cross-products' sample

A few sampling of the results of the cross-products  $cp_i = u_i \cdot v_{i+1} - u_{i+1} \cdot v_i$  of successive couples  $(u_i, v_i)$  are given underneath showing mostly alternating 1 and  $-1$  values but also some exceptions.

$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$	<i>modulo</i>
5	2	1	-1	
2	1	0	1	
1	0	1		
13	2	3	1	
8	1	2	-1	
5	1	1	1	
3	0	1	-1	
2	1	0		
17	4	1	35	1+2.17
13	1	9	-9	-1-2.4
4	1	0	1	
1	0	1		
29	2	5	-1	
12	1	2	1	
5	0	1	-1	
2	1	0		
37	6	1	149	1
31	1	25	-25	-1-4.6
6	1	0	1	
1	0	1		
41	4	5	1	
32	3	4	-1	
9	1	1	1	
5	0	1	-1	
4	1	0		
53	2	7	1	
30	1	4	-1	
23	1	3	1	
7	0	1	-1	
2	1	0		
61	6	5	1	
11	1	1	-1	
6	1	0	1	
5	0	1		

$r_i$	$u_i$	$v_i$	$cp_i$	<i>modulo</i>
73	8	3	-1	
27	3	1	1	
19	2	1	-1	
8	1	0	1	
3	0	1		
89	8	5	1	
34	3	2	-1	
21	2	1	1	
13	1	1	-1	
8	1	0	1	
5	0	1		
97	4	9	-1	
22	1	2	1	
9	0	1	-1	
4	1	0		

### Appendix C. Perfect linear Pythagorean primes

The numbers of primes numbers, either  $1 \pmod 4$ , "almost perfect linear" or "perfect linear" are given in the underneath table. The data is issued with the choice  $g$  being the smallest primitive root of  $p$ . The almost perfect linear primes are defined by a systematic cross-product  $cp_i = (-1)^{i+j}$ , for some fixed value  $j = 0$  or  $1$ . The perfect linear primes are defined by  $u_{i+2} = u_i - u_{i+1}$ ,  $v_{i+2} = v_i - v_{i+1}$ .

$p \leq$	$1 \pmod 4$ <i>type</i>	$p$ <i>almost</i> <i>perfect</i>	$p$ <i>perfect</i>
97	11	9	2
997	80	65	5
9949	608	455	15
99989	4783	3183	36
999961	39175	20067	84

The list of the 84 perfect linear prime numbers (for smallest  $g$  choice) smaller than  $p < 1000000$  is the following:

13, 53, 229, 233, 733, 1093, 1229, 1433, 2089, 2213, 4493, 7573, 8713, 9029, 9413, 10613, 13229, 18229, 21613, 24029, 26573, 27893, 28657, 33493, 41213, 42089, 42853, 45433, 46229, 55229, 59053, 65029, 75629, 82373, 91813, 94253, 120413, 140629, 157181, 162413, 165653, 178933, 182333, 189229, 207029, 214373, 225629, 233293, 237173, 245029, 253013, 257053, 267353, 283117, 284153, 299213, 319289, 368453, 375833, 378229, 388133, 439573, 444893,

494213, 499853, 552053, 570029, 582173, 585289, 588293, 619373, 632029, 677333, 683933, 717413, 727673, 759797, 779693, 815413, 874229, 927373, 935093, 966293, 994073.

### Literature and sources

- [1] Leonard Eugene Dickson. History of the Theory of Numbers.  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat's\\_theorem\\_on\\_sums\\_of\\_two\\_squares](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat's_theorem_on_sums_of_two_squares).
- [2] Stan Wagon (1990). Editor's Corner: The Euclidean Algorithm Strikes Again.  
American Mathematical Monthly, 97 (2): 125, doi:10.2307/2323912.
- [3] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continued\\_fraction](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continued_fraction)
- [4] <https://hubertschaetzel.wixsite.com/website>.

---

*September 27, 2022*

HUBERT SCHAETZEL, INPG Grenoble • *E-mail* : `hubert.schaetzel@wanadoo.fr`  
*Url* : <https://hubertschaetzel.wixsite.com/website>